

POLISH JOURNAL OF ECOLOGY (Pol. J. Ecol.)	53	1	143–145	2005
--	----	---	---------	------

Research note

Zdravko DOLENEC^{1*}, Milorad MRAKOVČIĆ¹, Antun DELIĆ²

¹Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb,
Rooseveltova 6, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia,

* e-mail: dolene@zg.biol.pmf.hr (author for correspondence)

²Teacher Education Academy, University of Zagreb, Savska 77, HR-10000, Croatia

EGG DIMENSIONS OF THE GREAT TIT (*PARUS MAJOR* L.) IN CROATIA

The purpose of this study is to submit, (for the first time), data on characteristics of Great Tit eggs in Croatia and the relation of egg dimensions with selected reproductive variables.

In birds, egg size (volume or/and weight) varies with female age (e.g. Desrochers and Magrath 1993), clutch size (e.g. Batt and Prince 1979) laying date (e.g. Bancroft 1984), position in the laying order (e.g. Haftorn 1986), female body weight (e.g. Nager and Zandt 1994), and other factors. Egg size in species varies within and between clutches, within and between years (e.g. Flint and Sedinger 1992). Large eggs have been shown to give rise to large nestlings which survive better than smaller nestlings from smaller eggs, or achieve higher fledgling weight (e.g. Schifferli 1973, Hegyi 1996). Being hatched from a large egg could be potentially beneficial for a chick; from the viewpoint of the laying female, however, weak investment into egg size might conflict with her own energetic demands and willingness to produce more offspring (Horak *et al.* 1995).

This study took place in the rural area (Hrvatsko Zagorje), in north-western Croatia (45°58'–46°10'N, 15°50'–16°08'E). The

study period lasted from March to April 1999. Only the first clutch was analysed. On an area (614 ha) of deciduous wood 90 nest-boxes were used. The nest-boxes were of a standard type, the diameter of the entrance hole being 32 mm. All nest-boxes had a sliding top so that the nesting could be monitored. The nest-boxes were situated 3–4 m above ground and an average distance of 30–40 m apart. The dominant two tree species were oak (*Quercus robur*) and hornbeam (*Carpinus betulus*), and the other ones (low proportion) were: common maple (*Acer campestre*), ash (*Fraxinus angustifolia*) and common elm (*Ulmus minor*). Nests were visited regularly during the breeding season to determine the exact date of laying. The maximum wing length (in flattened and straightened state) to the nearest half mm of breeding females was measured (Svensson 1975), and the birds were weighed 2–3 days upon laying the last egg. Egg volume was calculated from the equation: $EV = 0.51 \times L \times B^2$, where L is egg length and B is egg breadth (Hoyt 1979). The egg shape index (ES) was calculated using the formula $ES = L/B$, where L is the length and B the breadth of the egg (Schönwetter 1979). The mean values of first clutches were only analysed.

During the research period, 8–13 eggs in 52 layings were recorded (Table 1). The mean clutch size was 10.87, egg length – 17.44 mm, egg breadth – 13.31 mm, egg volume – 1576 mm³ and egg shape index – 1.31. The between clutch CV (coefficient of variation) was 3.5% for length, 2.3% for breadth, 6.3% for volume and 3.1% for egg shape index. The mean female wing length was 72.91 mm (CV = 1.7%), and mean female body weight 20.78 g (CV = 5.7%). As shown in Table 2, the dominant number of eggs per nest was 10–11 (50%), 12–13 eggs was found in 38% of all nests and 8–9 eggs in 12%.

There was no statistically significant correlation between clutch size and the dimensions of eggs, as well as between egg dimensions and reproductive variables. Only a marginally significant negative correlation has been found between the index of the egg shape and clutch size ($r = -0.25$; $P = 0.08$; $n = 52$). Therefore, the possible trend is that clutches with a greater number of eggs would be more spherical. The special attention has

been drawn to the relation of egg size and clutch size. The combination of clutch size and egg size determines the total energetic investment in clutch formation by a laying female. According to Lack (1967) species producing relatively large eggs must compensate by laying fewer eggs. The theory of optimal clutches predicts an inverse relationship between clutch size and the size of egg (Smith and Fretwell 1974, Brockelman 1975). This idea of a trade-off between egg size and clutch size has an important role in theoretical life-history models. However, empirical studies generally have not supported such an hypothesized trade-off (e.g. Hepp *et al.* 1987, Järvinen and Pyl 1989, Dolenc 2001). Accordingly, we also have not found a significant correlation between clutch size and egg size ($P > 0.05$). There is no significant correlation between female body weight and clutch size as well ($P > 0.05$). So we can conclude that the results of our research do not support predictions based on the theory of optimal clutch/egg size.

Table 1. Breeding characteristics of the Great Tit females. Only first clutches are included ($n = 52$)

Variable	Mean	SD	Range
Female weight (g)	20.78	1.19	19.0–23.5
Wing length (mm)	72.91	1.20	70.5–75.0
Clutch size	10.87	1.22	8–13
First laying date	6 th April	3.34	29 th March–16 th April
Egg length (mm)	17.44	0.61	15.93–18.95
Egg breadth (mm)	13.31	0.31	12.55–13.99
Egg volume (mm ³)	1576	99.63	1355–1842
Egg shape index	1.31	0.04	1.18–1.44

Table 2. Egg dimensions of the Great Tit different clutch size classes. Only first clutches are included ($n = 52$)

Clutch size	%	Egg length (mm)		Egg breadth (mm)		Egg volume (mm ³)		Egg shape index	
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
8–9	12	17.66	0.54	13.27	0.37	1589	133.16	1.33	0.02
10–11	50	17.41	0.54	13.25	0.26	1571	86.48	1.31	0.04
12–13	38	17.39	0.65	13.40	0.32	1595	101.84	1.30	0.06

REFERENCES

- Bancroft G. T. 1984 – Patterns of variation in size of Boat-tailed Grackle *Quiscalus major* eggs – *Ibis*, 126: 496–509.
- Batt B. D. J., Prince H. H. 1979 – Laying dates, clutch size and egg weights on captive Mallards – *Condor*, 81: 35–41.
- Brockelman W. Y. 1975 – Competition, the fitness of offspring and optimal clutch size – *American Naturalist*, 109: 677–699
- Desrochers A., Magrath R. D. 1993 – Age-specific fecundity in European Blackbirds (*Turdus merula*): individual and population trends – *Auk*, 110 (2): 255–263.
- Dolenec Z. 2001 – Correlations between egg characteristic and laying order in the starling (*Sturnus vulgaris*) in NW Croatia – *Natura Croatica*, 10: 11–17.
- Flint P. L., Sedinger J. S. 1992 – Reproductive implications of egg-size variation in the Black Brant – *Auk*, 109 (4): 896–903.
- Haftorn S. 1986 – Clutch size, intraclutch egg size variation and breeding strategy in the Goldcrest *Regulus regulus* – *Journal für Ornithologie*, 127: 291–301.
- Hegy Z. 1996 – Laying date, egg volumes and chick survival in Lapwing (*Vanellus vanellus* L.) Redshank (*Tringa totanus* L.), and Black-tailed Godwit (*Limosa limosa* L.) – *Ornis Hungarica*, 6: 1–7.
- Hepp G. R., Stangohr D. J., Baker L. A., Kennamer R. A. 1987 – Factors affecting variation in the egg and duckling components of Wood Ducks – *Auk*, 104: 435–443.
- Hörak P., Mänd R., Ots J., Leivits A. 1995 – Egg size in the Great Tit *Parus major*: individual, habitat and geographic differences – *Ornis Fennica*, 72: 97–114.
- Hoyt D. F. 1979 – Practical methods of estimating volume and fresh weight of bird egg – *Auk*, 96: 73–77.
- Järvinen A., Pryn M. 1989 – Egg dimensions of the Great Tit *Parus major* in southern Finland – *Ornis Fennica*, 66: 69–74.
- Lack D. 1967 – The significance of clutch-size in waterfowl – *Wildfowl*, 18: 125–128.
- Nager R. G., Zandt H. S. 1994 – Variation in egg size in Great Tit – *Ardea*, 82: 315–328.
- Schifferli L. 1973 – The effect of egg weight on subsequent growth of nesting Great Tits *Parus major* – *Ibis*, 115: 549–558.
- Schönwetter M. 1979 – *Handbuch der Oologie*. Academic – Verlag, Berlin.
- Smith C. C., Fretwell S. D. 1974 – The optimal balance between size and number of offspring – *American Naturalist*, 108: 499–506.
- Svensson L. 1975 – Identification guide to European passerines – Naturhistoriska Riksmuseet, Stockholm.

(Received after revising September 2004)